UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,392	03/12/2004	Ta-Yi Lee	MR2847-3	6031
4586 ROSENBERG,	7590 12/26/200 KLEIN & LEE	EXAMINER		
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			LEE, CHEUKFAN	
ELLICOTI CI.	LLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/798,392	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cheukfan Lee	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2008.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	<i>,</i> —				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are withdrawn f	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 March 2004</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
·— ·—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
212 III.2 IIII.20104 40 III.00 40 II.01 4 II.01 6 III.0 00 IIII.04 00 pido 1101 10001104.					
Attachmont/s)					
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/798,392 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

1. Claims 1-6 are pending. Claims 4-6 have been withdrawn from consideration in the previous Office action mailed June 16, 2008.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The added limitations of claim 1 are taught by the newly cited prior art reference Fujimoto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,496,295).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagane et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,313,289) in view of Fujimoto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,496,285).

Regarding claim 1, Nagane et al. discloses a scanner comprising an LED lamp set which includes at least one LED lamp (LED chips 8b) to serve as a light source (Figs. 1,3 and 4, col. 8, line 56 - col. 9, line 6), a transparent plate (33) having one side corresponding to the LED lamp set and other side corresponding to an object (original H) being scanned, light emitted from the LED lamp set passing through the transparent plate (11) to project onto the scanning object, a reflection mirror set (9, 9a and 9b in Fig.

Art Unit: 2625

1) which includes at least one reflection mirror (9a, 9b) for receiving a light reflecting from the object (H), where the light is emitted from the LED lamp set, and which reflects and focuses the light, and an image sensor (CCD 11 in Fig. 1) for receiving the light reflected from the reflection mirror set (, 9a and 9b) and transforming received optical signals to analog signals for outputting.

Nagane et al. does not disclose the newly added limitations. However, such feature of the new limitations is taught by Fujimoto et al. (Fig. 3). Fujimoto et al. teaches the concept of disposing a lamp (3) within a cavity defined by a supporting structure (including 2 and parts of the container 1 between the lamp and the lens 6 as viewed in Fig. 3), the supporting structure including a plurality of walls projecting about opposing sides of the lamp to bound an optical path between the lamp and a transparent plate (9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the concept of Fujimoto et al. to provide Nagane et al. with a supporting structure as claimed for the LED lamp set (LED chips 8b) in order to bound an optical path between the LED lamp and the transparent plate (original mount glass 33 in Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 2, as mentioned above for claim 1, the image sensor (11) of Nagane et al. is a Charge-Coupled Device (CCD) (col. 6, lines 50-52).

Regarding claim 3, Nagane et al. further discloses a rod lens (rod-like lens 8h' in Fig. , col. 8, lines 60-64).

Art Unit: 2625

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Applicant is reminded of the following:

This application contains claims 4-6 drawn to species nonelected without traverse in the reply filed on February 19, 2008. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheukfan Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7407. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,392 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cheukfan Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625